

Hong Kong Institute of Certified Public Accountants takes disciplinary action against a certified public accountant

(HONG KONG, 25 April 2019) A Disciplinary Committee of the Hong Kong Institute of Certified Public Accountants ordered on 18 March 2019 that the name of Ms. Wong Suet Fan, certified public accountant (A13298) be removed for one year from the register of CPAs with effect from 29 April 2019. In addition, Wong was ordered to pay \$34,447 in costs of the disciplinary proceedings.

Wong was selected by the Institute for practice review in early 2016, when she was holding a practising certificate and practising as a sole proprietor. The Institute's practice reviewers attempted to contact Wong through her registered contact addresses to arrange the review, but they were unable to obtain her response. However, Wong was able to receive the Institute's documents for registration renewal which were sent to those same addresses, and successfully renewed her membership and practising certificate for 2017.

In May 2017, the Practice Review Committee of the Institute issued a direction to Wong requiring her to provide certain information for the practice review and cooperate with the Institute to facilitate a practice review. Wong failed to comply with the direction and did not respond to the Institute's communications concerning the matter.

After considering the information available, the Practice Review Committee lodged a complaint against Wong under section 32F(3) of the Professional Accountants Ordinance, Cap 50.

The Disciplinary Committee found that Wong had been guilty of professional misconduct in that her disregard of the Institute's communications, and her failure to provide a proper office address, prevented the Institute from carrying out its statutory duty to conduct a review of her practice. The Committee further found Wong guilty of dishonourable conduct as her failure to maintain a proper office address was a breach of section 31 of the Ordinance and would amount to a criminal offence.

Having taken into account the circumstances of the case, the Disciplinary Committee made the above order against Wong under section 35(1) of the Ordinance.

About HKICPA Disciplinary Process

The Hong Kong Institute of Certified Public Accountants (HKICPA) enforces the highest professional and ethical standards in the accounting profession. Governed by the Professional Accountants Ordinance (Cap. 50) and the Disciplinary Committee Proceedings Rules, an independent Disciplinary Committee is convened to deal with a complaint referred by Council. If the charges against a member, member practice or registered student are proven, the Committee will make disciplinary orders setting out

the sanctions it considers appropriate. Subject to any appeal by the respondent, the order and findings of the Disciplinary Committee will be published.

For more information, please see:

http://www.hkicpa.org.hk/en/standards-and-regulations/compliance/disciplinary/

- End -

About HKICPA

The Hong Kong Institute of Certified Public Accountants (HKICPA) is the statutory body established by the Professional Accountants Ordinance responsible for the professional training, development and regulation of certified public accountants in Hong Kong. The Institute has more than 43,000 members and 19,000 registered students.

Our qualification programme assures the quality of entry into the profession, and we promulgate financial reporting, auditing and ethical standards that safeguard Hong Kong's leadership as an international financial centre.

The CPA designation is a top qualification recognised globally. The Institute is a member of and actively contributes to the work of the Global Accounting Alliance and International Federation of Accountants.

Hong Kong Institute of CPAs' contact information:

Gemma Ho

Public Relations Manager

Phone: 2287-7002

Email: gemmaho@hkicpa.org.hk

Rachel So

Head of Corporate Communications and Member Services

Phone: 2287-7085

Email: rachelso@hkicpa.org.hk



香港會計師公會對一名會計師作出紀律處分

(香港,二零一九年四月二十五日)香港會計師公會轄下一紀律委員會,於二零一九年三月十八日命令將黃雪芬小姐(會員編號:A13298)由二零一九年四月二十九日起從會計師名冊中除名,為期一年。此外,委員會命令黃小姐須繳付公會費用 34,447 港元。

黃小姐於二零一六年年初被公會抽選作執業審核,當時她是一名持有執業證書的獨資經營者。公會的執業審核人員曾試圖通過黃小姐的註冊聯絡地址與她聯繫以安排執業審核,但 無法得到她的回覆。然而,黃小姐均能收妥公會發送到相同地址的註冊續期文件,並成功 更新其於二零一七年的會員註冊及執業證書。

公會的執業審核委員會於二零一七年五月向黃小姐發出指令,要求她提供資料作執業審核用途並與公會合作以便進行執業審核。惟黃小姐沒有遵從指令,也沒有回應公會有關此事的通訊。

經考慮有關情況後,執業審核委員會根據香港法例第 50 章《專業會計師條例》第 32F(3) 條向黃小姐作出投訴。

紀律委員會認為黃小姐因無視公會的通訊,及未能提供有效的辦事處地址令公會無法履行 其法定職責對她進行執業審核,裁定她專業失當。此外,由於黃小姐未能維持一個有效的 辦事處地址,違反了《專業會計師條例》第 31 條,可構成刑事罪行,委員會進一步裁定 黃小姐犯有不名譽行為。

經考慮有關情況後,紀律委員會根據《專業會計師條例》第 **35(1)**條向黃小姐作出上述命令。

香港會計師公會的紀律處分程序

香港會計師公會致力維持會計界的最高專業和道德標準。公會根據香港法例第 50 章《專業會計師條例》及紀律委員會訴訟程序規則,成立獨立的紀律委員會,處理理事會轉介的投訴個案。委員會一旦證明對公會會員、執業會計師事務所會員或註冊學生的檢控屬實,將會作出適當懲處。若答辯人未有提出上訴,紀律委員會的裁判將會向外公佈。

詳情請參閱:

http://www.hkicpa.org.hk/en/standards-and-regulations/compliance/disciplinary/

關於香港會計師公會

香港會計師公會是根據《專業會計師條例》成立的法定機構,負責培訓、發展和監管本港的會計專業。公會會員超過 43,000 名,學生人數逾 19,000。

公會開辦專業資格課程,確保會計師的入職質素,同時頒佈財務報告、審計及專業操守的準則,以鞏固香港作為國際金融中心的領導地位。

CPA 會計師是一個獲國際認可的頂尖專業資格。公會是全球會計聯盟及國際會計師聯合會的成員之一,積極推動國際專業發展。

香港會計師公會聯絡資料:

何玉渟

公共關係經理

直線電話: 2287-7002

電子郵箱: gemmaho@hkicpa.org.hk

蘇煥娟

企業傳訊及會員事務主管 直線電話: 2287-7085

電子郵箱: rachelso@hkicpa.org.hk

IN THE MATTER OF

A Complaint made under section 34(1) of the Professional Accountants Ordinance, Cap. 50

BETWEEN

The Practice Review Committee of the Hong Kong Institute of Certified Public Accountants **COMPLAINANT**

AND

Ms. Wong Suet Fan (A13298)

RESPONDENT

Before a Disciplinary Committee of the Hong Kong Institute of Certified Public Accountants

Members:

Mr. CHIU Shun Ming (Chairman)

Miss CHAN Chui Bik, Cindy

Miss CHAN Ka Man

Mr. CHOW Tak Sing, Peter

Mr. LI Ka Fai, David

ORDER AND REASONS FOR DECISION

A. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

- 1. This is a complaint made by the Practice Review Committee of the Hong Kong Institute of Certified Public Accountants (the "Institute") as Complainant against Ms. Wong Suet Fan, a certified public accountant (the "Respondent").
- 2. The particulars of the complaint are set out in a letter dated 1 November 2017 ("Complaint Letter") from the Practice Review Committee ("the Complainant") submitted to the Registrar of the Institute for consideration of referral to the

Disciplinary Panels under section 34(1) of the Professional Accountants Ordinance (Cap. 50) ("PAO"). These particulars are summarised at paragraph 19 below.

- 3. The Respondent did not appear throughout these disciplinary proceedings.
- 4. The Complainant provided their case submissions on 8 June 2018.
- 5. As the Complainant did not raise any objection and the Respondent had never made any response during these disciplinary proceedings and remained not contactable, the directions hearing and the substantive hearing were dispensed with.
- 6. The Complainant provided their written submissions on sanctions and costs on 12 October 2018.

B. BACKGROUND

- 7. Under Part IVA of the PAO, the Institute is empowered to carry out practice review on practice units.
- 8. The Practice Review Committee ("PRC") is a statutory committee set up under section 32A of the PAO responsible for exercising the statutory powers and duties in relation to practice review under the PAO.
- 9. The practice reviewers, being staff members of the Quality Assurance Department ("QAD") of the Institute, assist and report to the PRC in carrying out those statutory power and duties.
- 10. The Respondent, whose practising certificate ("PC") was registered in 1997, had been selected for a practice review in early 2016.
- 11. The Institute's membership records of the Respondent indicated the following contact details:
 - 11.1. a residential address in Pok Fu Lam which the Respondent had indicated as her preferred correspondence address ("contact address");
 - 11.2. a registered office address for her practice located in Kowloon Bay; and
 - 11.3. an email address.
- 12. By the Respondent's signing of the "Annual Return 2017 membership and practising certificate renewal" (which along with other renewal documents, were

sent to her contact and email addresses), it was indicated that the contact details including her preference to use the residential address as her contact address remained the same.

- 13. Clearly, the Respondent was able to receive the documents from the Institute to renew her membership and PC in 2017. However, there had not been a single response from the Respondent to the requests sent by the QAD to those same contact addresses.
- 14. On 27 April 2017, the matter was referred to PRC pursuant to section 32F(1) of the PAO, and a letter was issued to the Respondent on 28 April 2017 inviting her to make submissions or representations by 12 May 2017.
- 15. The PRC did not receive any submissions, and issued a direction letter dated 24 May 2017 ("PRC Direction") under section 32(F)(2)(b) of the PAO directing the Respondent that by 14 June 2017 to:
 - 15.1. provide the QAD with the information stated in the Notification Letter dated 29 February 2016 and cooperate with the QAD to conduct a practice review in the third quarter of 2017; and
 - 15.2. complete the 2016 Electronic Practice Review Self-Assessment Questionnaire and send it to QAD by mail.
- 16. The PRC received no response from the Respondent. As a result, on 29 June 2017, the PRC decided to raise a complaint against the Respondent under section 32F(3) of the PAO.
- Prior to issuing a formal compliant letter, the Respondent was invited to comment on Summary of Findings concerning this complaint sent to her on 28 September 2017 by registered post to both her contact address and registered office address, as well as by email. The package sent to her contact address was successfully delivered; but the one sent to her registered office address was returned to the Institute marked "無此公司" (no such company). The Respondent had made no written submissions.
- 18. In order to assist the Disciplinary Committee, the Complaint Letter provided the following Chronology of Events.

#	Date	Means of contact	Address Used	Status of delivery	Remarks
Reque	est for informat	tion relating to p	ractice revie	w	
1	29 February 2016	Letter by registered post	Contact address (residential)	Delivered	The QAD sent out the "Practice Review Notification Letter" advising the Respondent of the practice review and requested the Respondent to submit information by 21 March 2016.
2	30 March 2016	Email	Email address on record	Delivered	The QAD emailed the Respondent requesting the information again and extended the deadline to 8 April 2016.
3 (a)	12 April 2016	Email	Email address on record	Delivered	The QAD emailed the Respondent again requesting the Respondent to submit the information as
1	20 May 2016				requested for the practice review and contact the QAD as soon as
3 (c)	31 May 2016				possible.
Reque	est for submissi	on of "Electroni	c Practice Re	eview Self-As	ssessment Questionnaire" ("EQS")
4	22 April 2016	Letter by post	Contact address	Delivered	As a standard procedure for all member practices, the QAD sent the 2016 EQS to the Respondent and requested submission by 30 June 2016.
5	29 April 2016	Letter by post	Contact address	Returned to sender	The QAD wrote to the Respondent again referring to the letter dated 22 April 2016.
					This letter was returned to the Institute marked "refused" with handwritten words "無此人" (no such person).
6	6 July 2016	Letter by post	Contact address	Returned to sender	The QAD wrote to Respondent again extending the deadline of submitting the 2016 EQS to 17 July 2016.
					The letter was returned to the Institute marked "refused" with handwritten words "無此人" (no such person).
The Q	AD's continuo	us effort to estab	lish contact	•	
7	5 December 2016	Phone Call	Contact phone number (residential)	Phone call picked up by individual but not the Respondent	The QAD requested the recipient of the phone call to inform the Respondent to contact the QAD as soon as possible and left QAD's phone number.

#	Date	Means of contact	Address Used	Status of delivery	Remarks	
201	1 membersh			tificate (" PC "	 ') renewal	
8	November 2016	Letter by	Contact	Delivered	,	
9	21 December 2016	Email	Email address record	Delivered	The Admissions Department emailed the Respondent as she did not submit the Annual Return by 16 December 2016; and requested the submission by 31 December 2016.	
10	29 December 2016	Letter by post and Email	Contact address and ema address record	il	The Admissions Department sent out a final notice for the 2017 renewal and extended the deadline to 8 February 2017.	
11	13 January 2017	Email	Email address record	Delivered on	The Admissions Department sent a reminder requesting the Respondent to submit the Annual Return by 8 February 2017.	
12	17 January 2017	The Institute received a "Request for Hardcopy Annual Return for 2017 Renewal" dated 12 January 2017 from the Respondent by post as the Respondent was unable to complete her Annual Return on-line, and therefore requested a hardcopy of the Annual Return to her by post.				
13	23 January 2017	The Institute received the completed "Annual Return" from the Respondent dated 16 January 2017. Her contact details as well as her preferred correspondence address (residential address as contact address) were confirmed by the Respondent in the Annual Return.				
14	10 February 2017	Letter by post	Contact address	Delivered	The Admissions Department notified the Respondent that her 2017 membership and PC renewal was complete and her PC was available for collection at the Institute's counter.	
15	3 March 2017	Letter by post	Contact address	Delivered	The Admissions Department sent a reminder to the Respondent to collect her PC at the Institute by 7 April 2017.	
16	19 April 2017	Regular post	Contact address	Delivered	The Respondent did not collect the PC and therefore the Admissions Department sent the PC to her by regular post.	

#	Date	Means of contact	Address Used	Status of delivery	Remarks
The	Institute's	continued ej	fort to reach	the Responder	nt in 2017
17	January 2017	Letter by collection	Contact address	Not collected	The QAD issued a letter referring to: (i) Review Notification letter dated 29 February 2016 (#1); (ii) the letter regarding 2016 EQS dated 29 April 2016 (#5); and (iii) the letter dated 6 July 2016 (#6).
					These letters, along with the Respondent's renewed PC were put at the Institute's counter for collection by the Respondent. See#14 - 16 above.
18	16 March 2017	Letter by courier	Contact address	Unsuccessful - no door answer	Since the letter dated 3 January 2017 was not collected by the Respondent, the QAD re-sent it by courier. The letter was returned to the Institute on 17 March 2017.
19	28 March 2017	Email	Email address on record	Delivered	The QAD emailed the Respondent referring to previous letters sent by QAD and requested the Respondent to contact the QAD as soon as possible.
20	28 April 2017	Letter by registered post and Email	Contact address and Email address on record	Registered post delivery completed on 2 May 2017	The QAD notified the Respondent of a dispute due to her lack of cooperation with the QAD in the practice review process, and invited her to make submissions or representations by 12 May 2017.
21	24 May 2017	PRC Direction Letter by registered post	Contact address	Letter was returned to sender	The PRC Direction with a due date of 14 June 2017 was returned to the Institute as the addressee did not collect the mail within the retention period.
22	30 June 2017	registered and regular posts, and email	Email address on record	Registered post delivery completed on 3 July 2017	The QAD notified the Respondent of PRC's decision to raise a compliant under section 32F(3) of the PAO.
Com	pliance De	epartment's d	attempts to co	ontact the Resp	oondent regarding the current complaint
23	20 July 2017	Phone call	Contact phone number (residential)	Phone call picked up by individual but not the Respondent	The Compliance Department attempted to contact the Respondent and verified her contact, and left a contact number for the Respondent to call back.

#	Date	Means of contact	Address Used	Status of delivery	Remarks
24	28 Sepember 2017	Registered Post and email	Both contact and registered office addresses; and email address on record	The registere successfully The registere returned to the returned to t	ance Department sent a Summary of the Respondent and requested submissions ations by 12 October 2017. The ded post to the contact address was delivered. The depost to the registered office address was the Institute marked "no such company".
25	26 October 2017	Registered Post	Both contact and registered office addresses	complaint to submission p Committee F The registere successfully The registere	ance Department sent the proposed the Respondent inviting her to make bursuant to Rule 5 of the Disciplinary Proceedings Rules. ed post to the contact address was delivered. ed post to the registered office address was nd returned to the Institute.
26	6 December 2017	Registered Post	Both contact and registered office addresses	Letter to the inviting her to the registere successfully The registere	ance Department sent the Notification Respondent with regards to the compliant to admit the compliant. ed post to the contact address was delivered. ed post to the registered office address was ne Institute marked "addressee unknown".

C. <u>THE COMPLAINTS</u>

19. The Complainant filed 3 complaints against the Respondent, as follows:-

First Complaint

19.1. Section 34(1)(a)(viii) of the PAO applies to the Respondent in that her disregard of communications sent to her contact addresses by the Institute amounted to professional misconduct.

Second Complaint

19.2. Section 34(1)(a)(viii) of the PAO applies to the Respondent in that her failure to maintain or otherwise ensure a proper or effective residential and/or business address as required by law amounted to professional misconduct.

Third Complaint

19.3. Section 34(1)(a)(x) of the PAO applies to the Respondent in that she was guilty of dishonourable conduct as her failure to comply with section 31 of the PAO would amount to a criminal offence.

D. <u>FACTS AND CIRCUMSTANCES IN SUPPORT OF THE COMPLAINTS</u>

- 20. The Respondent is a practising certified public accountant ("CPA") who had been issued a practising certificate since June 1997.
- 21. Up to end of 2017, the Respondent's mode of practice had been reported as parttime basis in her own name. As such, the Respondent was able to carry out statutory audits and issue auditor's reports in her own name during the period from June 1997 to end of 2017.
- 22. All practising CPAs, whether in full or part-time practice, must submit to practice review, which is a quality assurance program oversight by the PRC for the purpose of ensuring that all practising members observe, maintain and apply professional standards.
- 23. In February 2016, the Respondent was notified that her practice had been selected for practice review. However, a review could not be carried out due to her failure to respond to the Institute's communications.
- 24. All members of the Institute are required to provide their contact details which include residential, email and business addresses; and designate which of these addresses as their preferred correspondence address.
- 25. Section 22 of the PAO requires the Registrar of the Institute to maintain accurate membership records. The Institute has a reasonable and legitimate expectation that the contact details provided by members provide effective means for communication.
- 26. According to the Institute's records, the Respondent chose her residential address as the preferred correspondence address. Her residential address had not been changed since 1997 when she became a practising member.

- 27. The practice reviewers were unable to establish contact with the Respondent at her residential and email addresses during the 16-month period between February 2016 and June 2017. The facts and circumstances set out in the Complaint Letter described how the practice reviewers' attempts to engage the Respondent's cooperation were to no avail.
- 28. Further, subsequent attempts from the Institute to establish contact in relation to matters concerning this complaint have also been disregarded by the Respondent.
- 29. There is no doubt that the Respondent was able to receive correspondence sent to her residential and email addresses because she had responded to the Institute's correspondence sent to these addresses in order to successfully renew her 2017 membership and practising certificate. Even in her 2017 annual membership renewal form, the Respondent made no changes to her contact details.
- 30. The above chronology showed the Institute's attempts to contact the Respondent regarding practice review and the current complaint. The correspondences were shown to be successfully delivered to the Respondent at her residential and email addresses but she made no response.
- 31. The Respondent's failure to respond has prevented the Institute from carrying out its statutory duty to conduct a review on her practice. Her conduct falls below the standards expected of a member of the Institute, amounting to professional misconduct.
- 32. Section 31 of the PAO imposes all practising CPAs to have a registered office in Hong Kong to which all communications and notices may be addressed. Section 32 of the PAO requires the Institute to publish a list of practising CPAs and their registered office addresses in the Gazette.
- 33. The Respondent did not report any change of her registered office address since June 1997 when she became a practising member. This registered office address turned out to be invalid as all three letters sent to that address during September to December 2017 were returned to the Institute either marked "no such company", "unclaimed" or "addressee unknown".
- 34. Not only did the Respondent fail to comply with the obligation imposed under section 31 of the PAO, her conduct also affected the accuracy of the information that the Institute is required by law to maintain and publish.
- 35. The Respondent's conduct in this regard falls below the standards expected of a practising CPA and amounts to professional misconduct.
- 36. Section 31 of the PAO clearly states that any CPA (practising) who contravenes this section shall be guilty of a criminal offence.

37. By failing to have a valid registered office in Hong Kong to which communications could be addressed, the Respondent violated section 31 of the PAO and would be guilty of a criminal offence. Her conduct would bring discredit upon herself and/or the profession and would also amount to dishonourable conduct.

E. <u>CONCLUSION</u>

38. The Committee finds all of the three Complaints proved.

F. SANCTION AND COSTS

- 39. The Committee notes that it has a wide discretion on the sanctions it might impose and is not bound by the decision of a previous committee. Each case is fact specific.
- 40. Nevertheless, to assist the Committee in exercising its discretion, the Complainant has referred to a number of past decisions with similar features to the current case, namely, Proceedings No. D-17-1255P (19 September 2018), D-15-1063P (7 June 2017) and D-15-1050P (28 July 2016). These decisions involved failure to respond to Institute's request in respect of practice review and failure or neglect to comply with a direction issued by the PRC under section 32F(2)(b) of PAO. All of these cases resulted in either a removal from the register or cancellation of the practising certificates of the respective respondents for at least 1 year.
- 41. The Complainant pointed out that the Respondent was no longer a PC holder since the beginning of 2018. In fact, she did not even renew her 2018 membership. Her membership with the Institute is only retained because of the current disciplinary proceedings.
- 42. The Complainant submitted that only a removal order for a period of at least 12 months would be commensurate with the nature and seriousness of the complaint, taking into account the objectives of protecting public interest, deterring future non-compliance, upholding audit quality and maintaining public confidence in the profession.
- 43. As to costs, the Complainant submitted that the Respondent should pay the costs and expenses of and incidental to the proceedings of the Institute (including the costs and expenses of the Committee), as it was the Respondent's own conduct that brought on the disciplinary proceedings under PAO.

44.	We are satisfied that the costs and expenses set out in the Statement of Costs dated 12 October 2018 in the total sum of HK\$34,447 were reasonably and necessarily incurred.						
45.	Accordingly, the Committee makes the following orders: -						
	45.1.	The name of the Respondent be removed from the register of certific public accountants for one (1) year under section 35(1)(a) of the PA and it shall take effect on the 42 nd day from the date of this order; and					
	45.2.	The Respondent do pay the costs and expenses of and incidental to the proceedings of the Complainant (including the costs of the Committee) in the sum of HK\$34,447 under section 35(1)(iii) of PAO.					
46.	6. The decision on sanction was made by the majority of the Disciplin Committee's members. Three members of the Disciplinary Committee ag with the majority decision while two members dissenting.						
Dated t	he _{18th} d	ayof _{March}	2019.				
		1	Mr. CHIU Shun I Chairman	Ming			
Miss C. Membe		ui Bik, Cindy		Miss CHAN Ka Man Member	_		

, ,

Mr. CHOW Tak Sing, Peter

Member

Mr. LI Ka Fai, David Member